



TITLE:

# ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS (Modeling and Complex analysis for functional equations)

AUTHOR(S):

TCHIZAWA, KIYOYUKI

---

CITATION:

TCHIZAWA, KIYOYUKI. ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS (Modeling and Complex analysis for functional equations). 数理解析研究所講究録 2008, 1582: 144-150

ISSUE DATE:

2008-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81445>

RIGHT:

## ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS

KIYOYUKI TCHIZAWA (知沢清之)

Dept. of Maths. Musashi Institute of Technology (武蔵工業大学)

**ABSTRACT.** In the proceedings of RIMS 1547, 2007, pp107/113, Generic conditions for Duck solutions in  $R^4$ , I gave the generic conditions (B2)-(B5). In this paper, the condition (B4) will be revised, and then the proof of Theorem 3.2 also be revised.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A slow fast system in  $R^4$  includes a possibility having a constrained surface with 1-dimensional or 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional differentiable manifold. In this paper, we take up the system in  $R^4$  with a 2-dimensional constrained surface. There are two different approaches, which is an indirect method and the other is a direct one to find the duck solutions in  $R^4$  ([5]). A typical example of this system is a 2-paralleled FitzHugh-Nagumo equations. S.A.Campbell, one of authors of [3], investigated first the coupled FitzHugh-Nagumo equations as a bifurcation problem. In the system, we, I and S.A.Campbell, have already proved the existence of the winding duck solutions in  $R^4$  ([4]). As the associated slow-fast system (or singular perturbation problem) has a 2-dimensional slow manifold (constrained surface), we can reduce it to the slow-fast one in  $R^3$ . It turns to have two kinds of projected slow-fast systems in  $R^3$ : one has 2-dimensional constrained surface, the other has 1-dimensional constrained surface. Giving transversality conditions in each case, it will be shown that there exists the duck in the original system. Recently, we, I and Miki and Nishino, investigated a trading dynamical economics model using both methods. See ([6]).

### 2. SLOW-FAST SYSTEM IN $R^3$

Let us consider the following slow-fast system:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx/dt &= h(x, y, \epsilon), \\ dy_1/dt &= f_1(x, y, \epsilon), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(x, y, \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in R^1$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in R^2$ , are variables, and  $\epsilon$  is a parameter, which is infinitesimally small in the sense of non-standard analysis of Nelson. We give the following assumptions in the system (2.1).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A34, 34A47, 34C35..

*Key words and phrases.* slow-fast system, duck solutions.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

(A1)  $h \in C^2$ ,  $f = (f_1, f_2) \in C^1$  are defined on  $R^3 \times R^1$ ,

(A2) The set  $S_1 = \{(x, y) \in R^3 | h(x, y, 0) = 0\}$  is a 2-dimensional differentiable manifold and the set  $S_1$  intersects the set  $T_1 = \{(x, y) \in R^3 | \partial h(x, y, 0)/\partial x = 0\}$  transversely so that the pli set  $PL = \{(x, y) \in S_1 \cap T_1\}$  is a 1-dimensional differentiable manifold.

(A3)  $f_1(x, y, 0) \neq 0$ , or  $f_2(x, y, 0) \neq 0$  at any point  $(x, y) \in PL$ .

Let  $(x(t, \epsilon), y(t, \epsilon))$  be a solution of (2.1). When  $\epsilon = 0$ , differentiating  $h(x, y, 0)$  with respect to the time  $t$ , the following equation holds:

$$(2.2) \quad h_{y_1}(x, y, 0)f_1(x, y, 0) + h_{y_2}(x, y, 0)f_2(x, y, 0) + h_x(x, y, 0)dx/dt = 0,$$

where  $h_i(x, y_1, y_2, 0) = \partial h(x, y_1, y_2, 0)/\partial i$ ,  $i = x, y_1, y_2$ . The above system(2.1) restricted in  $S_1$  becomes the following system:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} dy_1/dt &= f_1(x, y, 0), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(x, y, 0), \\ dx/dt &= -\{h_{y_1}(x, y, 0)f_1(x, y, 0) + h_{y_2}(x, y, 0)f_2(x, y, 0)\}/h_x(x, y, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(x, y) \in S_1 \setminus PL$ . The system (2.1) coincides with the system (2.3) at any point  $p \in S_1 \setminus PL$ . In order to avoid the degeneracy of the system (2.3), let us consider the following system:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} dy_1/dt &= -h_x(x, y, 0)f_1(x, y, 0), \\ dy_2/dt &= -h_x(x, y, 0)f_2(x, y, 0), \\ dx/dt &= h_{y_1}(x, y, 0)f_1(x, y, 0) + h_{y_2}(x, y, 0)f_2(x, y, 0). \end{aligned}$$

As the system(2.4) is well defined at any point of  $R^3$ , it is well defined indeed at any point of  $PL$ . The solutions of the system(2.4) coincide with those of the system(2.3) on  $S_1 \setminus PL$  except the velocity when they start from the same initial points.

(A4) For any point  $(x, y) \in S_1$ , either of the following holds;

$$(2.5) \quad h_{y_1}(x, y, 0) \neq 0, h_{y_2}(x, y, 0) \neq 0,$$

that is, the surface  $S_1$  can be expressed as  $y_1 = \varphi_1(x, y_2)$  or  $y_2 = \varphi_2(x, y_1)$  in the neighborhood of  $PL$ . Let  $y_2 = \varphi_2(x, y_1)$  exist, then the projected system(2.6) is obtained:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} dy_1/dt &= -h_x(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0)f_1(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0), \\ dx/dt &= h_{y_1}(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0)f_1(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0) + \\ &\quad h_{y_2}(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0)f_2(x, y_1, \varphi_2(x, y_1), 0). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $y_1 = \varphi_1(x, y_2)$ , it can be analyzed as the same way.

(A5) All the singular points of the system(2.6) are nondegenerate, that is, the matrix induced from the linearized system of (2.6) at a singular point has two nonzero eigenvalues.

**Remark.** All these points are contained in the set  $PS = \{(x, y) \in PL | dx/dt = 0\}$ , which is called *pseudo singular points*. Note that these points are the singular points in the system(2.4).

## ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $p \in PS$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be two eigenvalues of the matrix associated with the linearized system of (2.6) at  $p$ . The point  $p$  is called *pseudo singular saddle* if  $\mu_1 < 0 < \mu_2$  and called *pseudo singular node* if  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < 0$  or  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0$ . When  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are complex conjugate, they are called *pseudo singular focus*.

**Definition 2.2.** A solution  $(x(t, \epsilon), y(t, \epsilon), z(t, \epsilon))$  of the systems (2.1) are called *ducks*, if there exist standard  $t_1 < t_0 < t_2$  such that

- (1)  $*(x(t_0, \epsilon), y(t_0, \epsilon), z(t_0, \epsilon)) \in S_1$ , where the set  $*(X)$  denotes the standard part of the set  $X$ ,
- (2) for  $t \in (t_1, t_0)$  the segment of the trajectory  $(x(t, \epsilon), y(t, \epsilon), z(t, \epsilon))$  is infinitesimally close to the attracting part of the slow curves (the constrained surface),
- (3) for  $t \in (t_0, t_2)$ , it is infinitesimally close to the repelling part of the slow curves, and
- (4) the attracting and repelling parts of the trajectory are not infinitesimally small.

**Theorem 2.1 (Benoit).** If the system has a pseudo singular saddle or node point, then it has duck solutions. In the saddle case, the duck solutions are determined uniquely, but in the node case, they are determined uniquely with no resonance. If the system has a pseudo singular focus point, it has no duck solutions.

3. SLOW-FAST SYSTEM IN  $R^4$ 

Now, let us consider a slow-fast system (3.1):

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx_1/dt &= h_1(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \epsilon), \\ \epsilon dx_2/dt &= h_2(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \epsilon), \\ dy_1/dt &= f_1(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \epsilon), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $h = (h_1, h_2)$  are defined on  $R^4 \times R^1$  and  $\epsilon$  is infinitesimally small.

First, we assume the following condition (B1) to get an explicit solution.

(B1)  $f$  is of class  $C^1$  and  $h$  is of class  $C^2$ .

Furthermore, we assume that the system (3.1) satisfies the following generic conditions (B2) – (B5):

(B2) The set  $S_2 = \{(x, y) \in R^4 | h(x, y, 0) = 0\}$  is a 2-dimensional differentiable manifold and the set  $S_2$  intersects the set  $T_2 = \{(x, y) \in R^4 | \det[\partial h(x, y, 0)/\partial x] = 0\}$ , which is a 3-dimensional differentiable manifold, transversely so that the generalized pli set  $GPL = \{(x, y) \in S_2 \cap T_2\}$  is a 1-dimensional differentiable manifold.

(B3) The value of  $f$  is nonzero at any point  $p \in GPL$ .

(B4) For any  $(x, y) \in S_2 \setminus GPL$ ,  $\text{rank}[\partial h(x, y, 0)/\partial x] = 2$  and for any  $(x, y) \in S_2$   $\text{rank}[\partial h(x, y, 0)/\partial y] = 2$ .

Then, the surface  $S_2$  can be expressed as  $y = \varphi(x)$  in the neighborhood of  $GPL$ . On the set  $GPL$ ,  $\partial h_1(x, y, 0)/\partial x_2 \neq 0$  or  $\partial h_2(x, y, 0)/\partial x_1 \neq 0$ . Note that we use the notations  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ .

Let the latter of (B4) be satisfied, then the following two projected systems (3.2), (3.3) in  $R^3$  can be reduced under the condition  $dx_1/dt, dx_2/dt$  are limited, that is,

$\epsilon|dx_1/dt - dx_2/dt|$  tends to zero as  $\epsilon$  tends to zero:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx_1/dt &= h_2(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y), y, \epsilon), \\ dy_1/dt &= f_1(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y), y, \epsilon), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y), y, \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

since the relation  $x_2 = \psi_2(x_1, y)$  is established from the above assumption. First, we can analyze the vector field of the system(3.2) on the constrained surface. Then, we use  $h_2(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \epsilon)$  instead of  $h_1(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y_1, y_2), y_1, y_2, \epsilon)$ . Because, we have to avoid redundancy for the system as is using  $h_1$ . Actually, we need the above condition:  $dx_1/dt, dx_2/dt$  are limited, in such a case. Therefore, this approach is called *an indirect method*.

Using the other relation  $x_1 = \psi_1(x_2, y)$ , we can get the following:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx_2/dt &= h_1(\psi_1(x_2, y), x_2, y, \epsilon), \\ dy_1/dt &= f_1(\psi_1(x_2, y), x_2, y, \epsilon), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(\psi_1(x_2, y), x_2, y, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

On the set  $S_2$ , differentiating both sides of  $h(x, \varphi(x), 0) = 0$  by  $x$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad [h_x] + [h_y]D\varphi = 0,$$

where  $D\varphi$  is a derivative with respect to  $x$ , thus the following (3.5) is established:

$$(3.5) \quad D\varphi(x) = -[h_y]^{-1}[h_x].$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.6) \quad dy/dt = D\varphi(x)dx/dt,$$

because of  $y = \varphi(x)$ . We can reduce the slow system to the following:

$$(3.7) \quad D\varphi(x)dx/dt = f(x, \varphi(x)).$$

Using (3.5), the system (3.7) is described by

$$(3.8) \quad [h_x]dx/dt = -[h_y]f(x, \varphi(x)).$$

Put  $[h_x] = A$  simply, then

$$(3.9) \quad dx/dt = -B[h_y]f(x, \varphi(x)),$$

where  $AB = BA = (\det A)I$ .

The system(3.9) is the time scaled reduced system projected into  $R^2$ . Again, we assume the set  $T_2 = \{(x, y) \in R^4 | \det A = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ .

(B5) All the singular points of the system(3.9) are nondegenerate, that is, the matrix induced from the linearized system of (3.9) at a singular point has two nonzero eigenvalues.

## ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS

**Remark.** All these points are contained in the set  $GPS = \{(x, y) \in GPL | \det A = 0\}$ , which is called the set of *generalized pseudo singular points*.

As this approach transforms the original system to the time scaled reduced system directly, it is called a *direct method*.

**Definition3.1.** Let  $p \in GPS$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be two eigenvalues of the matrix associated with the linearized system of (3.9) at  $p \in R^4$ . The point  $p$  is called *generalized pseudo singular saddle* if  $\mu_1 < 0 < \mu_2$  and called *generalized pseudo singular node* if  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < 0$  or  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0$ .

**Definition3.2.** If there exists a duck in the both systems (3.2) and (3.3) at the common pseudo singular point in  $R^4$ , it is called a *duck in  $R^4$* . If there exists a duck in only one of the above systems, it is called a *partial duck in  $R^4$* .

**Theorem3.1.** The transversality condition(B2) is established if and only if the transversality condition(A2) in Section2 is satisfied in the systems (3.2) and (3.3) at the common pseudo singular point.

**Theorem3.2.** The system(3.2) or (3.3) have a pseudo singular saddle (or pseudo singular node) point, if the system(3.1) has a generalized pseudo singular saddle (or pseudo singular node) point  $p$  except only the two cases  $\partial h_1(p)/\partial x_1 = \partial h_2(p)/\partial x_2 = 0$ , or  $\partial h_1(p)/\partial x_2 = \partial h_2(p)/\partial x_1 = 0$ .

**Theorem3.3.** If the system(3.1) has a generalized pseudo singular saddle, or singular node point with the same conditions in Theorem3.2, the system(3.1) has a partial duck.

(Proof)

Theorem3.2 ensures that there exists the pseudo singular saddle or pseudo singular node in the system(3.2) or (3.3). Then, Theorem2.1 ensures the existence of a duck in these systems.

## 4. PROOFS OF THEOREM3.1, AND THEOREM3.2

## 4.1 Proof of Theorem3.1

Let  $\nabla h_i(x, y, 0)$  denote a gradient vector of  $h_i(x, y, 0)$ . The transversality between  $S_2$  and  $T_2$  at the generalized pseudo singular point  $p = (x_{10}, x_{20}, y_{10}, y_{20}) \in R^4$  is checked as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla h_1(p, 0) \\ \nabla h_2(p, 0) \\ \nabla \det[\partial h(p, 0)/\partial x] \end{pmatrix} = 3.$$

The transversality between  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  in the system (3.2) and (3.3) are checked as follows. Put

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1(x_1, y_1, y_2) &= h_2(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y), y_1, y_2, 0), \\ g_2(x_2, y_1, y_2) &= h_1(\psi_1(x_2, y), x_2, y_1, y_2, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and then put

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nabla g_1(p_1) \\ \nabla \partial g_1(p_1)/\partial x_1 \end{pmatrix} = M_{p_1},$$

where  $p_1 = (x_{10}, y_{10}, y_{20})$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nabla g_2(p_2) \\ \nabla \partial g_2(p_2)/\partial x_2 \end{pmatrix} = N_{p_2},$$

where  $p_2 = (x_{20}, y_{10}, y_{20})$ .

As the relation(4.1) is satisfied,  $\text{rank} M_{p_1} = \text{rank} N_{p_2} = 2$  holds. In fact, the gradient vectors in (4.3) and (4.4) are independent, since only the coordinates are changed. Conversely, pulling back the equations(4.3), (4.4) to  $R^4$ , that is, embedding the corresponding 2-dimensional manifold into the original  $R^4$ , we can confirm that the relation(4.1) holds. In fact, the the second equation in (4.3), (4.4) is equivalent to the third one in (4.1). The proof is complete.

#### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let the system(3.1) have a generalized pseudo singular saddle point

$p = (x_{10}, x_{20}, y_{10}, y_{20}) \in R^4$ , that is, the point  $p$  is a singular point of the system(3.9). Note that this system is described on the constrained surface. In the case of  $\partial h_1(p)/\partial x_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\partial h_2(p)/\partial x_1 \neq 0$ , and  $\partial h_1(p)/\partial y_2 \neq 0$  the following slow-fast system describes the current state.

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx_1/dt &= h_2(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, y_1, \phi_2(x)), y_1, \phi_2(x), \epsilon), \\ \epsilon dx_2/dt &= h_1(\psi_1(x_2, y_1, \phi_2(x)), x_2, y_1, \phi_2(x), \epsilon), \\ dy_1/dt &= f_1(x_1, x_2, y_1, \phi_2(x), \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

and in the case of  $\partial h_1(p)/\partial y_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon dx_1/dt &= h_2(x_1, \psi_2(x_1, \phi_1(x), y_2), \phi_1(x), y_2, \epsilon), \\ \epsilon dx_2/dt &= h_1(\psi_1(x_2, \phi_1(x), y_2), x_2, \phi_1(x), y_2, \epsilon), \\ dy_2/dt &= f_2(x_1, x_2, \phi_1(x), y_2, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The above systems look like having a 1 - dim slow manifold in  $R^3$ , however, they are tangent due to having a still 2 - dim manifold in  $R^3$ . Therefore, the orbits of the of the linearized systems(4.5), (4.6) are equivalent to the eigenvectors of the time scaled reduced system in the system(3.2). As the coordinate transformation is always done by using diffeomorphism, the corresponding eigenvalues are invariant in the sense of topological conjugacy. Therefore, the system(3.2) has a pseudo singular saddle point. In the case of the node point, it is useful as the same way. The proof is complete.

## ON THE TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS FOR 4-DIM DUCK SOLUTIONS

## REFERENCES

1. E.Benoit, *Canards et enlacements*, Publ. Math. IHES **72** (1990), 63–91.
2. E.Benoit, *Canards en un point pseudo-singulier noeud*, Bulletin de la SMF (1999), 2–12.
3. S.A. Campbell, M.Waite, *Multistability in Coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo Oscillators*, Nonlinear Analysis **47** (2000), 1093–1104.
4. K.Tchizawa, S.A.Campbell, *On winding duck solutions in  $R^4$* , Proceedings of Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations **2** (2002), 315–318.
5. K.Tchizawa, *A direct method for finding ducks in  $R^4$* , Kyoto Univ RIMS Kokyuroku, 1372 (2004), 97–103.
6. H.Miki, K.Tchizawa, H.Nishino, *On the possible occurrence of duck solutions in domestic and two-region business cycle models*, preprint.
7. K.Tchizawa, H.Miki, H.Nishino, *On the existence of a duck solution in Goodwin's nonlinear business cycle model*, Nonlinear Analysis **63** (2005), e2553–e2558.

1-28-1 TAMAZUTSUMI SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO, 158-0087, JAPAN